

# Good to Great Schools Policy Appendix 7 One Day Reviews

## **Good to Great Schools Policy**

### Appendix 7

#### 1. Protocols for Termly One Day Reviews

As part of the monitoring and evaluation processes for schools categorised receiving intensive support, Hackney Education will carry out termly One Day Reviews. Schools categorised as receiving enhanced support may also receive a one day LA review at an agreed point in the year to support the self evaluation process or as a measure of the impact of agreed actions.

The reviews are to ensure the pace and impact of improvement is appropriate i.e. progress and capacity are sustained and secure. The review team will use the evidence from the review to evaluate the effectiveness of school leaders to manage change towards agreed areas for improvement. The Good to Great Schools Policy, item 2.9.5, outlines the monitoring role of the One Day Review process. The review is not intended to be an 'inspection' of the school but a process to evaluate the school's journey of improvement. The review also provides schools with the opportunity to demonstrate the improvements made to teaching and learning, pupil attainment and progress, and leadership to HE.

For schools in the intensive category, most reviews will take place in the second half of each term prior to the end of term Team Action Group (TAG) meeting. The evidence gained from the review will be used to inform judgements made at the end of term TAG meeting.

Schools receiving focussed support may also request a one day review/Curriculum area review as part of their funded support to support their continued self evaluation and action planning.

#### 1.1 Communication

The review team from Hackney Education will consist of at least two Hackney Education officers and may include an Executive Headteacher from a local school. The school will be contacted a minimum of seven days prior to the review, by the SIP for the review, to confirm the date and timings for the review. An outline of the review will be forwarded to the school, together with an indication of what information the school will need to provide in preparation for the review.

# 1.2 Documentation to be made available either before the review or on the day of the review if not readily available on the school website will include:

- Most recent school self-evaluation SEF
- School Development Plan and CPD plans
- Teaching Profile
- · SIP reports
- Monitoring reports
- Governing body reports
- Pupil attainment and pupil progress summaries
- Marking and Feedback Policy
- Curriculum outlines and policies

#### 1.3 The plan of the day

The review will include a range of activities which will provide evidence for the review team to evaluate the progress the school is making towards agreed priorities. Each review team will decide on the activities to be used based on the needs of the school.

The range of activities will include some or all the following:

- · Discussions with school leaders
- Discussions with members of the governing body
- Scrutiny of school information and documentation requested by the review team
- · Learning walks and visits to lessons
- Scrutiny of pupils' workbooks and relevant curriculum documentation
- Hearing pupils read
- · Discussions with pupils

The review team will not be able to provide feedback to individual teachers or support staff about their performance. The final report will provide an overview of the quality of education and will not report on the performance of individuals. The findings of the review should not be used as part of the school's performance management process for members of the school team.

At the end of the review, using the evidence from the activities carried out during the review, the team will consider the following indicators to make a best fit judgement about how effectively school leaders are driving improvement and managing change. This is not intended to be used as a checklist of outcomes, but as a guide for the team to inform their professional judgement.

#### **Evidence from the review indicates that:**

A	The school is securely on track to meet <b>all</b> of the end of year outcomes Agreed changes are <b>securely</b> embedded. There are <b>secure</b> improvements in pupil outcomes, including vulnerable groups School leaders at <b>all</b> levels show strong capacity for continued improvement There is a culture of ambition for all stakeholders School self evaluation is accurate <b>and systemic</b> . This is impacting across the school There are no other concerns about the school's provision
В	The school is securely on track to meet <b>most</b> of the end of year outcomes Agreed changes are <b>mostly</b> embedded.  There are improvements in pupil outcomes, including vulnerable groups School leaders show strong capacity for continued improvement There is a culture of ambition for <b>all</b> stakeholders School self evaluation is <b>consistently</b> impacting across the school
С	The school is securely on track to meet <b>some</b> of the end of year outcomes <b>Some</b> agreed changes are embedded.  There are improvements in <b>some</b> pupil outcomes, including vulnerable groups School leaders show capacity for continued improvement There is a culture of ambition for stakeholders There is a consistency in approach in <b>some</b> of the identified areas School self evaluation is <b>not yet consistently</b> impacting across the school
D	There remains a risk that the school may not meet the end of year outcomes.  Senior leaders, including governors, have begun to introduce the agreed changes  Systems of school self evaluation are starting to impact across the school  There are some improvements in pupil outcomes, however the implementation of changes is  not yet consistent across the school.  School leaders show capacity for continued improvement

• There is a likely risk that the school will not meet the end of year outcomes.

- Senior leaders, including governors, are not managing to implement the agreed changes quickly enough.
- There is not sufficient evidence to show improvements in key areas.
- School self evaluation is not yet robust enough.

Ε

• New practice and systems are not consistently being implemented.

The review team will give feedback to school leaders about areas of improvement and changes noted by the team during the review and indicate areas for further development. This information will inform the next Team Action Group meeting and judgements about the school's progress at the meeting. A report of the One Day Review will be forwarded to the Headteacher and Chair of Governors within five working days of the review following a quality assurance process carried out by the Director/Assistant Director for Education.

#### **Example Plan of the Day - One Day Review**

		Documentation to be available:
8.00am Arrival & agree plan of day with school	Team arrives Discuss plan of the day with school leaders	<ul> <li>Governing Body minutes/Reports- Progress and underachievement</li> <li>Link Governor visit reports and protocols</li> <li>SEF or School Self-evaluation information</li> <li>Teaching profile overview – quality of teaching</li> <li>Progress of pupils and vulnerable groups</li> <li>Year 2 and 6 – overview of standards and progress towards targets</li> </ul>
8:15 – 8:45am	Discussions with Leaders  - Typicality of teaching in the classroom – expectations for AFL, marking and feedback – CPD programme in place for the school - SDP priorities for the school - Actions taken by the school to address priorities identified for improvement	<ul> <li>Plan of the school</li> <li>Timetables</li> <li>School Curriculum Documentation</li> <li>SDP &amp; SEF</li> </ul>
8.45 – 10:15am Lesson Visits and meetings with middle leaders	Lesson Visits with deep dives on agreed themes related to school improvement priorities.  Team take one deep dive area each. Book looks during visits to class Discussions with pupils during class visits Discussions with leaders Scrutiny of school documentation	School documentation related to scrutiny area e.g. policies, school monitoring, internal data etc. Please ensure all teachers have their planning available in the class.
10:15 - 10:45	Team Catch up Meeting	
10:45 – 11:30	Lesson Visits with deep dives on agreed themes related to school improvement priorities  Team take one deep dive area each. Book looks during visits to class Discussions with pupils during class visits Discussions with leaders Scrutiny of school documentation	Please ensure all teachers have their planning available in the class School documentation related to scrutiny area e.g. policies, school monitoring, internal data etc.
11:30 – 12:00	Meetings with pupils and book looks	Please ensure pupils have books with them for discussion
12:00 – 12.30pm	Meeting with the Headteacher/Senior leaders arising discussion points from morning visits/ Meetings with other staff (e.g. ECT, Teachers, Support staff, SENDCO) as needed	SDP /CPD plans
12:30 – 1:15	Time to reflect and revisit paperwork etc	
1.15 - 2.30pm	Meetings with curriculum leaders - Actions taken by leaders at all levels towards the priorities for school improvement  Visits to classrooms/book looks arising from morning discussion points where needed.	Leaders action plans and any evaluation reports     Monitoring reports     Pupil Tracking     Data summaries
2:30 - 4:00pm	Team discussion & Report drafting	
4:00 - 4:30pm	Feedback to the Headteacher, Chair of Governors and SLT - Agree priorities for improvement and progress made by the school	

#### **Example Team Plan** - One Day Review

8.15am Arrival & agree plan of day with school	Progress in Years 3 expectations in R &     Leaders at all level all key stages     A strategic plan is ensure a coherent       Team arrives Discuss plan       Governing Body mit       Link Governor visit       SEF or School Self       Teaching profile over       Progress of pupils are	predicted outcomes in R,W,M & th B, 4 and 5 shows the large majority M, with disadvantaged (ever 6) pills can show the impact of their work macted which implements change progression of knowledge and skill an of the day with school leaders mutes/Reports- Progress and undereports and protocols everaluation information erview – quality of teaching and vulnerable groups rview of standards and progress to	y of pupils are on track to mupils making strong progreth to improve T&L, pupils' past to the history, geography is	neet end of year ss from starting points progress and attainment in
	Team Areas of Focus	Team Member 1 (Lead)	Team Member 2	Team Member 3
		Reading/Phonics	Mathematics / CPD	EYFS/Science
expectations for A feedback - CPD programme - SDP priorities for	ing in the classroom – IFL, marking and e in place for the school the school he school to address If for improvement	Reading & Phonics (KS1)	Mathematics	EYFS/ EY Phonics
<ul><li>Provision for SEN</li><li>Learning Behavior</li><li>Discussions with I</li></ul>	visits to class pupils during class visits D learners ur	Reading & Phonics (KS1) EYFS & KS1	Mathematics KS2	Science and History Meeting with leaders & book look
10:15 – 10:45	plarifica carriodiam	Team Catch up Meeting		
10:45 – 12:00 Lesson Visits and me leaders	etings with middle	Reading KS2	Mathematics KS1	EYFS / EY phonics
12:00 – 12:30 Meetings with pupils	and book looks	Reading/Writing	Mathematics	
12:30 – 1:30		Team Meeting, Lunch and visit	t to playgrounds	
1:30 – 2:00 Meetings with leaders	s, teachers and pupils	Meeting with the Headteacher/Senior leaders arising discussion points from morning visits	Meeting with teachers re CPD	Meeting with pupils re Science
2:00 - 3:30		Team meeting to reflect and write	e up findings.	
3:30 – 4:00pm	Feedback to the Headte progress made by the so	Leacher and SLT and Governor reprechool	esentative - Agree priorities	s for improvement and

# LA One-Day Review Report

<Name of School>

<Date>

Lead: <Name>
Team: <Names>

Working for every child



Hackney Education One Day Review Report			
School name: Date:			
Actions/tasks undertaken during the review			
• • • • • • • • •			
School Context			
General Behaviour & Pupil Attitudes			
Evaluation of school's progress to achieving end of year outcomes  R= School is not sufficiently on track, A=School is mostly on track, G= School is firmly on track to meet end of year  TAG Outcomes reviewed:  • • • • • • • •	ar outcome		
TAG Outcome(s):	R/A/G		
Commentary:			
Strengths			
Arising areas of consideration for the school:			
TAG Outcome(s):	R/A/G		
Commentary:			
Strengths			
•			

Arising areas of consideration for the school:		
•		
TAG Outcome(s):	R/A/G	
Commentary:		
Strengths		
•		
Arising areas of consideration for the school:		
•		
TAG Outcome(s):	R/A/G	
Commentary:		
Strengths		
•		
Arising areas of consideration for the school:		
•		
TAG Outcome:	R/A/G	
Commentary:	-	
Strengths		
•		
Arising areas of consideration for the school:		
•		
Other Discussion Areas		
•		
•		
•		
•		

**Evidence Gathered on the Day Indicates:** 

Α	The school is securely on track to meet all of the end of year outcomes			
	Agreed changes are <b>securely</b> embedded.			
	There are <b>secure</b> improvements in pupil outcomes, including vulnerable groups			
	School leaders at <b>all</b> levels show strong capacity for continued improvement			
	There is a culture of ambition for all stakeholders			
	School self evaluation is accurate <b>and systemic</b> . This is impacting across the school			
	There are no other concerns about the school's provision			
В	The school is securely on track to meet <b>most</b> of the end of year outcomes			
	Agreed changes are mostly embedded.			
	There are improvements in pupil outcomes, including vulnerable groups			
	School leaders show strong capacity for continued improvement			
	There is a culture of ambition for <b>all</b> stakeholders			
	School self evaluation is <b>consistently</b> impacting across the school			
С	The school is securely on track to meet <b>some</b> of the end of year outcomes			
•	Some agreed changes are embedded.			
	There are improvements in <b>some</b> pupil outcomes, including vulnerable groups			
	School leaders show capacity for continued improvement			
	There is a culture of ambition for stakeholders			
	There is a consistency in approach in <b>some</b> of the identified areas			
	School self evaluation is <b>not yet consistently</b> impacting across the school			
D	There remains a risk that the school <b>may not meet</b> the end of year outcomes.			
-	Senior leaders, including governors, have begun to introduce the agreed changes			
	Systems of school self evaluation are starting to impact across the school			
	There are some improvements in pupil outcomes, however the implementation of changes is not yet			
	consistent across the school.			
	School leaders show capacity for continued improvement			
E	There is a likely risk that the school will not meet the end of year outcomes.			
-	Senior leaders, including governors, are not managing to implement the agreed changes quickly			
	enough.			
	There is not sufficient evidence to show improvements in key areas.			
	School self evaluation is not yet robust enough.			
	<ul> <li>New practice and systems are not consistently being implemented.</li> </ul>			

# Overall risk of school not meeting end of year outcomes\*

	<u>"A</u>	- I
Overall recommendations to secure end of year outcomes		l
•		l
•		l
•		ſ
•		ı
		l
		l
		l
		l
	- 1	